Q: I would like to ask you about the god Thoth or Thothe?.... B: Thoth (tot). Q: Thoth. B: Thoth. Q: Thank you. Some individuals say that he was an Atlantean that had.... B: The origination of that idea was connected to some degree to Atlantean culture, yes. And that there was, at that time, an actual individual that was to some degree aligned with that energy and did merge with the archetypal representation of that energy. But that energy also existed before the connection or its connection to an actual individual historically. Q: Right, because my next point is, in a book I'm reading by Sitchin which shows a picture of Thoth behind what is supposedly his father creating a human being and he states that Thoth, not being the god of wisdom and knowledge, but actually of science and medicine.... B: Yes. Q: ...and that his father was the person that created...he was one of the Anunnaki that created one of the first humans. B: Yes, but this is allegorical, not literal because you are talking tens of thousands of years between those events. Q: Right. B: So the idea is recognition of a lineage and the recognition that they are a direct offshoot of that lineage in Atlantean time, and that they lead directly back to the time of the Anunnaki. Q: Oh, okay, so it's just representative; that's what I was getting at, whether he was actually an Anunnaki or whether he was an Atlantean? B: Again, the idea is represented by both, to some degree literally but more allegorically. There were real people that did represent those symbols; that did represent what the symbols stand for, but in terms of the idea called Thoth and the idea of Thoth's father it is an allegorical representation of two different cultures, although at times there were literal individuals in those cultures that were perceived as the embodiment of those concepts. Do you understand the difference? Q: Yes. B: In the same way as it could be said that, what you call, the individual, your George Washington, could be seen as a literal individual that represents the office of what you call the political presidency, literally; but also symbolically stands simply for the whole concept of the office of presidency in your society. Do you understand? Q: Yes. B: Does that help illuminate the idea? Q: Yes. B: Anything else? Q: Oh, yes, most definitely. Um, as far as the Anunnaki are concerned... B: Yes. Q: ...I understand that you said something to the effect that the reptilians were a genetic experiment, I believe, of the Anunnaki and some dinosaur species? B: In that sense, what you would call dinosaur DNA was utilized in the creation of a hybridization and a creation of a species that you have come now to recognize as the reptilian by the Anunnaki, yes. Q: Were they the first? I'm sure they did lots of genetic experimenting.... B: They were the first from your world. Q: Okay, and how many were there, would you say, as far as not just simple experiments but ones that really branched out into major life forms. How many did they create here on earth? B: Three. Q: Which were us, the reptilians and what were the third beings? B:
'Tis, 'tis, 'tis 'tis, it is not time...one moment...one moment...one moment, we must have dialogue to see what door may be open in this direction, based upon the overall timing of your consensus reality. Q: Well, my next question is... B: One moment, one moment, one moment...that which has to come to be known as Sasquatch. Do you understand? Q: Yes. That makes sense to me too. B: It is, in that sense, a precursor to your own people. Q: And actually, the Sasquatch...is it true that they're much more telepathic beings? B: Yes. Q: Was that done for a reason? B: Well, in a sense, yes. Let us say, in effect, yes. They are not literally more telepathic than you are; but they allow themselves to use it more naturally than you have allowed yourselves to use it in your modern society. Although there have been times when in your past history, it was expressed more naturally by those on your planet. Q: What DNA did they use? B: Similar to your own, in the sense of simian, but it is another generation altogether. After that changes were made, thus resulting in the idea of typical humaniform that you now recognize or at least more closely so. Q: So they were before us then too, obviously? B: Yes, they are the second; you are the third. Q: I'm sure you probably discussed this before, but I'd like to ask you that.... B: Do you understand what that means? Q: What that they were before us? B: This whole idea with regard to the whole concept of sentiency and sentient species on your planet. Q: No, be more specific, please. B: The actual, shall we say, native sentient species are the Dolphins and the whales. Because they, in that sense, did come from your planet in its natural evolution. Q: Oh, wow, I heard that they came from another planet. B: No. Q: So they naturally became sentient on this planet? B: Yes. Q: How? B: What do you mean how? Q: Well, I mean, what made them sentient? B: Consciousness. Q: But, I mean...what caused the actual change when they became sentient, they just ...do you see what I'm getting at? B: Yes. Q: Typically what happens? B: What you would call the appropriate amount of connections in, what you would call, the neurological net. Q: I'm confused. B: A specific architecture in the brain. Q: Okay, I got that but I.... B: Allowed consciousness to be expressed on your planet in a way that you recognize as sentiency. Every thing and every life form on your planet is consciousness expressing itself, but it always does not express itself in a way that you recognize your own consciousness to be expressed. The idea, this doesn't mean that yours is better or worse or anything like that, but the idea is that the dolphin and the cetacean, whale life forms express sentiency and consciousness similar to your own. And this began to be expressed in that way when the neurological net, within idea of the brain mass, arrived at a certain density where a certain number of connections were made that allowed the consciousness to express itself in a certain way, in physical terms. Does that make sense to you? Q: Yes, is that like a template in the universe to where life exists on a planet where there will always be one species that will.... B: No, not always, not always. The template does exist. Q: Most of the times. B: It depends, you cannot necessarily say most of the time either, because you are talking about infinity. Q: Yes. B: There is no way to measure that. Q: Yes, as far as the Egyptian gods, to get back to Egypt. B: Yes. Q: I was wondering if the reason why.... B: One moment...to clarify and close before we continue, this does not mean that your dolphin or cetacean species does not have extraterrestrial connections, but in terms of what you typically consider to be an evolutionary process, they were not the product of genetic manipulation in terms of that which then resulted in their bodily form in the same way that humans' forms work. Q: Yes, that is what I was going to ask you before, but then you went on. So there was no alien intervention or divine intervention or anything that actually, not jump-started them, but, like, did something to add.... B: Only they themselves as a non-physiological consciousness of spirit jump-started the idea of creating a vehicle for them to
express themselves as physical beings. Q: Okay, and now the Egyptian god.... B: All right, back to meet you. (This sentence doesn't make sense) Q: Thank you. Is the reason why the gods are depicted with different animal faces... I was wondering if maybe that, once again, it dated back to some of the genetic manipulations that the Anunnaki did and these were like.... B: It is to some degree representative of some of that idea, but not in many cases. Most of it is simply representative of the archetypal energy of different concepts that the Anunnaki represented to the early peoples on your planet and what portions of the collective conscious they symbolize. Q: Ah, they did some pretty strange animals. B: If you say so. You simply need to look at them in a different way to understand them clearly. Some of your confusion may simply come from what you now call your modern context, instead of looking at them as a way that individuals on your planet used to look at them. Q: Because that was what they were mostly in contact with, I guess were those that were those types of creatures. B: Yes, yes. Q: As far as the chambers, now that they are getting ready to open...I don't know, maybe you already know that they have already opened them and gone into them. B: They have not. Q: They have not? B: No! Q: Can you give us an idea of what's to be found and will it be released to the public in the near future? B: Eventually it will.... Q: In the near future? B: Eventually it will. Q: You can't be more specific? B: No, because you have not created more specificity for me to pick up on within your consensus reality. You are still at war with yourself over many different ideas of what you think of as detrimental information to the structure of your society. I can tell that, at the outside, that information will generally be available usually no later than 2010; but it could happen much sooner, maybe about 2005 and maybe sooner still. But there are many, many, many different belief systems still creating conflict and paradox with one another and thus muddying up the ability to see any clear momentum heading toward any particular year. Do you understand? Q: Yes. B: The idea, of course, is that the more individuals on your planet communicate in a clear and creative way from all the individuals involved then you yourself can determine a sooner date. It is not impossible that this could come out even within the span of one orbit. It depends upon your willingness to co-ordinate, to communicate creatively, in a clarifying manner, to become involved and participate and co-create. You can do it; so the timing is really up to you. It can happen, we can sense that it can happen within one orbit, but it depends upon your participation, do you understand. Q: Yes, it seems like that for individuals, even yourself, for predicting events that are...or outcomes of the future are getting harder and harder, it seems that reality is on a total.... B: It depends upon the thing. But, again remember that there is no such thing as a prediction of the future. There is only a sensing of the energy that exists at the time the prediction is made and if that energy does not change, then you can say the event has come to pass and that a great prediction of the future was made. No such things occurs, you only sense the energy that exists now! And if there's enough momentum behind that energy then it is likely it will not change, and you can say it will come to pass. Q: That's what I mean but the energies are changing so much.... B: It depends upon the thing. In certain things there's a great amount of momentum and it is unlikely you will change it. In other things there is not as much momentum in one direction, there is still an undecided vote and thus in that sense it is fuzzy. You understand? Q: Yes. B: But in every moment, at every MOMENT you change the momentum. Sometimes allowing it to continue as fuzzy, sometimes allowing it to become crystal clear. It just depends on how willing you are to be more of yourselves. That, as an absolute certainty, will allow things to become crystal clear very quickly. The more willing you are to be yourself, the easier it is to understand what the natural outcome of being yourself would be. You follow? Q: Yes, thank you. B: Thank you.
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